

INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION-MAKING RECORD OF DECISION

PART A

| DETAILS OF REPORT | | |
|--|--|--|
| Title of report | on prior to issuing to cabinet member) Nunhead Controlled Parking Zone | |
| Decision-maker | Cabinet Member for Climate Emergency, Clean Air & Streets | |
| Earliest date when decision can be taken | 18 March 2024 | |
| Key decision – Yes/No? | Yes | |
| Date published on forward plan | February 2024 | |
| Date sent to cabinet member | 8 March 2024 | |
| Recommendation | That the Cabinet Member for Climate Emergency, Clean Air & Streets: | |
| | 1. Approves the extension of Controlled Parking Zone ("CPZ") 'B' into the following roads: Sturdy Road, Ellery Street, Old James Street, Whorlton Road and partially into Scylla Road, covering a smaller area than proposed in public consultation, in response to resident feedback. This proposal is subject to the outcome of any necessary statutory procedures. The remaining roads consulted as part of this consultation will not be part of a controlled parking zone. The majority of residents living on the roads that are included in the new smaller zone were supportive of a CPZ on their road (53%). Otherwise, given the overall majority who didn't want a CPZ, the revised extension, which significantly reduces the size of the proposed CPZ coverage, responds to the | |

- feedback of the majority of residents. Full details can be found in Appendix A as well as the boundary of the proposed CPZ extension.
- 2. Notes that the operational hours of the proposed CPZ extension will be in line with the existing zone: Mon-Sat 8.30am to 6.30pm.
- 3. Notes that a further report will be brought to the Cabinet Member should there be any valid statutory objections to the traffic order required to implement the proposed CPZ extension.
- 4. Approves the position and type of parking bays and restrictions for the proposed CPZ extension as shown in the outline design save for any amendments which may be required at the implementation stage, which may be determined by officers (Appendix B).
- 5. Notes that in addition to the controlled parking zone proposed as part of this report, officers will assess and propose double yellow line waiting restrictions across the entire consulted area where required for safety reasons.

| ORIGINATING AUTHOR'S DETA (Officers to complete this section p | GINATING AUTHOR'S DETAILS icers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member) | |
|---|--|--|
| Lead officer | Toni Ainge, Acting Strategic Director of | |
| | Environment, Neighbourhoods & Growth | |
| Report author | Matt Clubb – Director of Environment/ Jo | |
| | Redshaw – Principal Project Manager | |
| ¹ Contact officer | Jo Redshaw – Principal Project Manager | |
| Contact Number | 020 7525 5000 | |

PART B

(Cabinet member to complete this section)

| DECISION(S) |
|--------------|
| |
| As in report |

| REASONS FOR DECISION | |
|----------------------|--|
| | |
| As in report | |

¹ Named officer to contact on whether representations have been received or not

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

As in report

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

- 1. Disappointment that there are no CPZ proposals for Carden Road. Can the council reconsider and include Carden Road?
- Proposal is unfair as Old James Street residents do not want a CPZ, Monday to Saturday hours are different to usual proposals and that we are in a cost of living crisis.
- 3. The size of the new zone hasn't addressed any issues and will cause displacement.
- 4. The proposals will make it worse for those on Carden Road because of displacement. There were too many options in the consultation.

ADDITIONAL ADVICE RECEIVED

- 1. 72% of respondents were against the controlled parking on Carden Road and while anecdotally, we've had some indication there was a parking problem, the comments in the consultation did not reflect this.
- 2. While those living on Old James Street were against the parking proposals, when asked if they would like a zone if one was implemented nearby, 50% of respondents said yes. Given the location of Old James Street, we would expect displacement if it was not included in the zone. Monday to Saturday are the hours of the existing Peckham zone.
- 3. The majority of respondents from the roads to be included in the Peckham Zone stated that they would like parking restrictions on their street. The areas were made slightly bigger to reduce the chance of displacement.
- 4. Some displacement can take place following the introduction of a controlled parking zone. The extension of the CPZ will be reviewed 6 to 12 months post implementation. The consultation was designed to ensure the relevant options were available for consideration by respondents.

ANY INTERESTS DECLARED

Note: If the decision-maker has a disclosable pecuniary interest in the matter the report must be referred to the full cabinet for decision.

Where a cabinet member may discharge a function alone and becomes aware of a disclosable pecuniary interest in a matter being dealt with or to be dealt with by her/him, the cabinet member must notify the monitoring officer of the interest within 28 days and must not take any steps or further steps in the matter.

If a member is unsure as to whether an interest is a disclosable pecuniary interest they should contact the governance team for advice.

None

DECLARATION

I approve/reject the recommendations set out in the report.*

or

I approved an alternative course of action set out in Part B.*

or

I have referred this matter to the Full Cabinet for decision.*

(* - Please delete as appropriate)

Signed

Dated 19/03/2024

Please return completed hard copy of the form to Constitutional Team, 160 Tooley Street, PO BOX 64529, London, SE1P 5LX – tel: 020 7525 7187.

Seeking advice

You should seek advice from the relevant officer on a number of occasions:

- (a) If you wish to consider alternative options
- (b) If you are considering rejecting the proposals

Otherwise it is at your discretion when you should seek further advice and you should do so when you consider it appropriate.